

Transcript Details

This is a transcript of a continuing medical education (CME) activity. Additional media formats for the activity and full activity details (including sponsor and supporter, disclosures, and instructions for claiming credit) are available by visiting:

<https://reachmd.com/programs/cme/strategies-in-egfr-mutated-unresectable-stage-iii-nsclc/36149/>

Released: 07/31/2025

Valid until: 07/31/2026

Time needed to complete: 1h 18m

ReachMD

www.reachmd.com

info@reachmd.com

(866) 423-7849

Strategies in *EGFR*-mutated Unresectable Stage III NSCLC

Announcer:

Welcome to CME on ReachMD. This episode is part of our MinuteCE curriculum.

Prior to beginning the activity, please be sure to review the faculty and commercial support disclosure statements as well as the learning objectives.

Dr. Liu:

Welcome to CME on ReachMD. I'm Dr. Stephen Liu, and today I'm joined by my colleagues, Dr. Joshua Sabari and Dr. Susan Scott, to review emerging strategies in *EGFR*-mutant unresectable stage III non-small cell lung cancer.

Josh, we now have updated data from the landmark phase 3 LAURA trial. Could you start us off with a brief overview of those data?

Dr. Sabari:

Yeah. So remember, in driver mutation-negative patients, we use the PACIFIC regimen where we use consolidation durvalumab. Particularly in the *EGFR*-mutant population, which is what the LAURA trial studied, these are patients we know do not benefit from consolidation or adjuvant durvalumab. So this is a randomized trial, phase 3, that looked at patients post concurrent chemo RT, randomizing them to get osimertinib, a third-generation *EGFR* TKI, versus placebo. And primary endpoints here being progression-free survival and overall survival. And I remember seeing this data about last year, now, quite an impressive improvement in median progression-free survival for patients receiving osimertinib in the consolidation setting, 39.1-month median PFS versus only about 5.6 months for placebo, showing us that essentially all these patients with unresectable disease will essentially recur.

When you then look at overall survival, that's a lot more controversial, right? Because at progression, most patients would have gone on to receive osimertinib, but still, at the 3-year landmark, we do see an 84% median overall survival for osimertinib versus 74% for placebo.

One really important takeaway from this trial is that these patients are getting indefinite osimertinib, and I think that's the right thing to do in this patient population.

Dr. Liu:

Striking how few patients we cure with chemoradiation alone in this setting, and 5.6 in a setting where we're reaching for cure really was eye-opening. So clear benefit here. Standard of care, but we always have to look at the flip side of that coin. Susan, what are the safety outcomes from this study?

Dr. Scott:

Yeah. So there is, of course, a higher grade of toxicity in the osimertinib arm compared to the placebo arm. One important thing that we looked at was pneumonitis. So there was about a 10% higher rate of radiation pneumonitis in the osimertinib arm compared to placebo, though only 2% were grade 3 or higher. There was a higher rate of discontinuation than placebo, about 13% in Osimertinib arm versus 5%. But again, as Josh mentioned, this is an indefinite kind of treatment with osimertinib, so a long time to continue therapy, a long time to experience side effects.

So what does this mean for patients? It's a really great option for the patients with *EGFR*-mutated tumors following chemoradiation.

Exactly as you guys said. The recurrence in stage III unresectable disease is close to 90%, so the vast majority of patients really do benefit from the early addition of EGFR-directed therapy.

Dr. Liu:

Yeah, I think this will translate to an OS benefit. But at the same time, there's also I think some value in just delaying progression, especially when that progression is often in the brain. So I think a new standard of care in this setting. Given these advances for patients with historically limited treatment options, what challenges remain for this patient population and where do we go from here, Josh?

Dr. Sabari:

I think biomarker testing is critical. I mean, here we assume we knew the EGFR mutation, so all patients with lung cancer, not only stage IV but now we know in early stage, as well as stage III B and C unresectable, need testing. I think the tox profile, we all feel very confident and comfortable with the side effect profile for osimertinib. But some of these are low-level toxicities that sort of occur over a long time. And I bring up paronychia, GI tox, for example, these are grade 1 and 2 but could sort of affect patients' quality of life. But for me, as you mentioned, these patients have very high rates of recurrence. We saw only 5.6 median PFS on the control arm. This is a no-brainer, I think we should utilize this in all patients in clinical practice.

Dr. Liu:

Susan, what other challenges are out there for this and for other driver subtypes?

Dr. Scott:

Yes, I think for the EGFR-mutated tumors, I think identifying patients that might be able to discontinue therapy or avoid it altogether would be ideal, because continuing treatment indefinitely is daunting, but it is going to be our standard of care for the time being.

Great discussion. Unfortunately, though, our time is up. So thanks everyone for listening.

Announcer:

You have been listening to CME on ReachMD. This activity is provided by Efficient and Partners for Advancing Clinical Education and is part of our MinuteCE curriculum.

To receive your free CME credit, or to download this activity, go to ReachMD.com/CME. Thank you for listening.